ResearchGate

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/316840506

Upper Bounds For Fekete-Szego Functions And The Second Hankel Determinant for A Class of Starlike Functions.

Article *in* IOSR Journal of Mathematics · May 2017

DOI: 10.9790/5728-1302053439

CITATIONS
10

READS
103

2 authors:



Risikat Bello
Kwara State University
5 PUBLICATIONS **20** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE



Timothy Opoola
University of Ilorin
31 PUBLICATIONS **177** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

All content following this page was uploaded by Timothy Opoola on 12 September 2018.

The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file.

IOSR Journal of Mathematics (IOSR-JM)
e-ISSN: 2278-5728, p-ISSN: 2319-765X. Volume 13, Issue 2 Ver. V (Mar. - Apr. 2017), PP 34-39
www.iosrjournals.org

Upper Bounds for Fekete-Szego functions and the Second Hankel Determinant for a Class of Starlike functions.

Bello R. A.[1],Opoola T. O.[2]
[1]*Department Of Statistics And Mathematical Sciences, Kwara State University,Malete, Nigeria*
[2]*Department of Mathematics, University Of Ilorin,Ilorin,Nigeria*

Abstract: *In this work,we provide upper estimate for Fekete-Szego functional and Second Hankel determinant for the class $S^*\{q\}$ consisting of functions analytic in the unit disk $U = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$ and normalized by $f(0) = f'(0) = 1 = 0$ and which satisfies the subordination condition*
$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec q(z), \quad z \in U, \qquad$ where $q(z) = \sqrt{1+z^2} + z$

Keywords:*Coefficient bounds,Fekete-Szego functional,Second Hankel Determinant and Subordination Keywords*

Introduction

Let A denote the class of functions $f(z)$ analytic in the unit disk $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and let $S \subset A$ denote the class of analytic functions $f(z)$ in U which are univalent and has the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \tag{1.1}$$

Definition 1.1. *An analytic function $f(z)$ is said to be subordinate to the analytic function $g(z)$ and we write $f \prec g$ if there exist a function $\omega(z)$ analytic in U such that $\omega(0) = 1$, $|\omega(z)| < 1$ and $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$. If $g(z)$ is univalent in U then $f \prec g \Longleftrightarrow f(0) = g(0)$ and $f(|z| < 1) \subset g(|z| < 1)$*

A function $f(z)$ in S is said to be starlike in U if $f(z)$ maps U onto a starlike domain with respect to $\omega_0 = 0$. An analytic function $f(z)$ is starlike in U if and only if $Re\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > 0$, $z \in U$.
The class of starlike functions in U is denoted as S^*. We define the class $S^*\{q\}$ to be the class of functions $f \in A$ satisfying

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \sqrt{1+z^2} + z = q(z) \qquad z \in U$$

where q(0)=1,
Furthermore let Ω be the class of analytic functions of the form $\omega(z) = \omega_1 z + \omega_2 z^2 + \omega_3 z^3 + \ldots$ in the unit disk U satisfying the condition $|\omega(z)| < 1$.

II. Preliminary Result

To establish our results we shall need the following lemmas:

Lemma1(Ali.R.M et al[5])

If $\omega \in \Omega$, then for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|\omega_2 - t\omega_1^2| \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} -t & if & t \leq -1 \\ 1 & if & -1 \leq t \leq 1 \\ t & if & t \geq 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

Lemma 2(Ali.R.M et al[5])

If $\omega \in \Omega$, for any complex number t $|\omega_2 - t\omega_1^2| \leq max\{1 : |t|\}$ The result is sharp for $\omega(z) = z^2$ or $\omega(z) = z$

III. Main Result

In This Research Work,The Following Are The Main Results.

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $\omega(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$ *and let* $\sigma_1 = \frac{1}{4}, \sigma_2 \frac{5}{4}$ *If* $f(z)$ *belong to* $S^*(q)$ *then for any real number* λ

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc} \frac{3}{4} - \lambda & if & \lambda \geq \sigma_1 \\ \frac{1}{2} & if & \sigma_1 \leq \lambda \leq \sigma_2 \\ -(\frac{3}{4} - \lambda) & if & \lambda \geq \sigma_2 \end{array} \right\}$$

Proof:

Let $\omega(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$

Also let $S^*(q)$ denote the class of functions $f(z)$ in the unit disk U normalized by $f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0$ satisfying the condition

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \sqrt{1 + z^2} + z, \qquad z \in U$$

Whichimplies by definition of subordination that

$$\frac{z'f(z)}{f(z)} \prec \sqrt{1+\omega^2(z)} + \omega(z), \qquad z \in U$$

And

$$zf'(z) - \omega(z)f(z) = f(z)\sqrt{1 + \omega^2(z)}$$

where $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for $|z| < 1$

$f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + a_3 z^3 + a_4 z^4 + \ldots$

implies

$f'(z) = 1 + 2a_2 z + 3a_3 z^2 + 4a_4 z^3 + \ldots$

Hence,

$$zf'(z) = z + 2a_2z^2 + 3a_3z^3 + 4a_4z^4 + \dots$$

$$\omega(z)f(z) = [c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots][z + 2a_2z^2 + 3a_3z^3 + 4a_4z^4 + \dots]$$

$$= c_1z^2 + c_2z^3 + c_3z^4 + c_1a_2z^3 + c_2a_2z^4 + c_1a_3z^4 + \dots$$

$$zf'(z) - \omega(z)f(z) = z + 2a_2z^2 + 3a_3z^3 + 4a_4z^4 - [c_1z^2 + c_2z^3 + c_3z^4 + c_1a_2z^3 + \dots]$$

$$= z + (2a_2 - c_{1)}z^2 + (3a_3 - c_2 - c_1a_2)z^3 + (4a_4 - c_1a_3 - c_2a_2 - c_3)z^4 + \dots \quad (1)$$

$$\omega(z) = c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots$$

$$\omega^2(z) = [c_1z + c_2z^2 + c_3z^3 + \dots]^2$$

$$= c_1^2z^2 + c_1c_2z^3 + c_1c_3z^4 + c_1c_2z^3 + c_2^2z^4 + c_1c_3z^4 + \dots$$

$$1 + \omega^2(z) = 1 + c_1^2z^2 + 2c_1c_2z^3 + 2c_1c_3z^4 + c_2^2z^4 + \dots$$

$$\sqrt{1 + \omega^2(z)} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}[c_1^2z^2 + 2c_1c_2z^3 + 2c_1c_3z^4 + c_2^2z^4 + \dots]$$

$$- \frac{1}{8}[c_1^2z^2 + 2c_1c_2z^3 + 2c_1c_3z^4 + c_2^2z^4 + \dots]^2$$

$$= 1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1^2z^2 + c_1c_2z^3 + [c_1c_3 + \frac{1}{2}c_2^2 - \frac{1}{8}c_1^4]z^4 + \dots$$

$$f(z)\sqrt{1 + \omega^2(z)} = [z + a_2z^2 + a_3z^3 + a_4z^4 + \dots][1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1^2z^2 + c_1c_2z^3 + [c_1c_3 + \frac{1}{2}c_2^2 - \frac{1}{8}c_1^4]z^4 +$$

$$= z + a_2z^2 + (\frac{1}{2}c_1^2 + a_3)z^3 + (c_1c_2 + \frac{1}{2}c_1^2a_2 + a_4)z^4 + \dots \quad (2)$$

On comparing the coefficient of equation **(1)** and **(2)** we have,

$$a_2 = c_1 \quad (i)$$

$$a_3 = \frac{c_2}{2} + \frac{3}{4}c_1^2 \quad (ii)$$

$$a_4 = \frac{c_3}{3} + \frac{5}{6}c_1c_2 + \frac{5}{12}c_1^3 \quad (iii)$$

Therefore from **(i)** and **(ii)** we have

$$a_3 - \lambda a_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}c_2 + \frac{3}{4}c_1^2 - \lambda c_1^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}c_2 - (\lambda - \frac{3}{4})c_1^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}[c_2 + (\frac{3 - 4\lambda}{2}c_1^2]$$

$$i.e$$

$$a_3 - \lambda a_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}[c_2 + vc_1^2]$$

$$wherev = \frac{3 - 4\lambda}{2}$$

$$a_3 - \lambda a_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}[c_2 - (-v)c_1^2] \quad \dots(iv)$$

$$= |\frac{1}{2}||c_2 - (-v)c_1^2|$$

Taking $t = -v$ in Lemma 1, we have

(a) For $t \leq -1$ i.e. $-v \leq -1$ implies $v \geq 1$.

Then we have

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2}[|-v|]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}[v]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{3-4\lambda}{2}\right]$$

i.e.

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{3}{4} - \lambda$$

and for the case of $v \geq 1$ gives

$\frac{3-4\lambda}{2} \geq 1$

and we have that

$\lambda \leq \frac{1}{4}$

i.e $\lambda \leq \sigma_1$ where $\sigma_1 = \frac{1}{4}$

Hence,

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{3}{4} - \lambda$$

if $\lambda \leq \sigma_1$

(b). For the case when $-1 \leq t \leq 1$ in Lemma 1 , we have $-1 \leq -v \leq 1$ and

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2}(1)$$
$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

And in this case $-1 \leq -v \leq 1$implies $-1 \leq -(\frac{3-4\lambda}{2}) \leq 1$which gives

$\sigma_1 \leq \lambda \leq \sigma_2$ where $\sigma_1 = \frac{1}{4}$ and $\sigma_2 = \frac{5}{4}$

For $t \geq 1$ i.e $-v \geq 1$ we have $v \leq -1$ and

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq -\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3-4\lambda}{2})]$$
$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \lambda - \frac{3}{4}$$

For this case $v \leq -1$ gives $\frac{3-4\lambda}{2} \leq -1$

which gives $\lambda \geq \sigma_2$ when $\sigma_2 = \frac{5}{4}$

Hence,

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq -(\frac{3}{4} - \lambda)$$

$$\text{if } \lambda \geq \sigma_2$$

And This Completes The Proof Of The Theorem.

Theorem

3.2. *Let* $\omega(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_k z^k$

If $f(z)$ *belong to* S^*q *then the for any complex number* λ

$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2} \max\{1 : |\frac{3-4\lambda}{2}|\}$

Proof:

From theorem 3.1

$$a_2 = c_1$$

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{2}c_2 + \frac{3}{4}c_1^2$$

Therefore,

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| = \left|\frac{1}{2}[c_2 + \left(\frac{3-4\lambda}{2}\right)c_1^2]\right|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\left|[c_2 - (-v)c_1^2]\right|$$

Where $v = \frac{3-4\lambda}{2}$

Applying Lemma 2 and taking $t = -v$ we have that

$$|\omega_2 - (-v)\omega_1^2| \leq \max\{1 : |-v|\}$$

$$|\omega_2 - (-v)\omega_1^2| \leq max\{1 : |v|\}$$

Hence we obtain

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2}\max\{1 : |-v|\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\max\{1 : |v|\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\max\{1 : |\frac{3-4\lambda}{2}|\}$$

i.e.

$$|a_3 - (\lambda)a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2}\max\{1 : |\frac{3-4\lambda}{2}|\}$$

And This Completes The Proof Of The Theorem.

Theorem

3.3. *Let* $f(z) \in S^*(q)$ *then* $H_2(2) = |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{39}{48}$

Proof:

From Theorem 3.1

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_2 &= c_1 \\
a_3 &= \frac{1}{2}c_2 + \frac{3}{4}c1^2 \\
a_4 &= \frac{1}{3}c_3 + \frac{5}{6}c_1c_2 + \frac{5}{12}c_1^3 \\
a_2a_4 - a_3^2 &= c_1\left(\frac{1}{3}c_3 + \frac{5}{6}c_1c_2 + \frac{5}{12}c_1^3\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2}c_2 + \frac{3}{4}c_1^2\right)^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{3}c_3c_1 + \frac{5}{6}c_2c_2 + \frac{5}{12}c_1^4 - \frac{1}{4}c_2^2 - \frac{6}{8}c_1^2c_2 - \frac{9}{16}c_1^4 \\
&= \frac{c_1^2}{12}\left(c_2 - \frac{7}{4}c_1^2\right) + \frac{c_1c_3}{3} - \frac{c_2^2}{4} \\
H_2(2) &= |a_2a_4 - a_3^2| \\
&= \left|\frac{c_1^2}{12}\left(c_2 - \frac{7}{4}c_1^2\right) + \frac{c_1c_3}{3} - \frac{c_2^2}{4}\right| \\
&\leq \frac{|c_1^2|}{12}|c_2 - \frac{7}{4}c_1^2| + \frac{|c_1||c_3|}{3} + \frac{|c_2|^2}{4} \\
&\leq \frac{|c_1^2|}{12}\left(|c_2| + \frac{7}{4}|c_1|^2\right) + \frac{|c_1||c_3|}{3} + \frac{|c_2|^2}{4} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{12}\left(1 + \frac{7}{4}\right) + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} \\
&= \frac{39}{48}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $H_2(2) \leq \frac{39}{48}$

References

[1]. E.Kedioglu, On Subclass of univalent functions with negative coefficients.Applied Mathematics and computation,146(2003)351-358

[2]. Fekete,M.Szego, Einebemerkung uber ungerade functionen.London Math.Soc.8 (1933)85-89.

[3]. Marjono and D.K.Thomas, The Second Hankel Determinant of Functions Convex in One Direction.International Journal of Mathematical Analysis Vol. 10,(2016)no. 9, 423-428

[4]. R.K.Raina and J.Sokol, On Coefficient Estimate for a Class of Starlike Functions, 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30C45

[5]. R.M.Ali and R.N. Seenivasagan, Coefficient Bounds for P-Valent Functions, Applied Mathematics and Computation,(2007)187, 35-46

[6]. S.K.Lee, V.Ravichandran and S.Shamani, Coefficient Bounds, Vol. 10.Iss.3,Art.71(2009).

View publication stats